| 1 | THE ANGUILLA HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS OF THE TWENTIETH MEETING OF THE FIRST SESSION |
| 3 | OF THE ELEVENTH ANGUILLA HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY HELD ON TUESDAY 12TH APRIL 2016 AT 10:04 A.M. |
| 4 | AT THE ATLIN NORALDO HARRIGAN, OBE, PARLIAMENTARY BUILDING, THE VALLEY, ANGUILLA |
| 5 | |
| 6 | Presiding |
| 7 | Hon Speaker of the House of Assembly, Mr. Leroy Rogers |
| 8 | Members Present in the Assembly |
| 9 | Hon Member for Valley South, Mr. Victor F. Banks Hon Member for Sandy Hill, Mrs. Cora Richardson-Hodge |
| 10 | Hon Member for Road South, Mr. Curtis Richardson Hon Member for West End, Mr. Cardigan Connor |
| 11 | Hon Member for Island Harbour, Ms. Palmavon Webster Hon Deputy Governor, Mr. Stanley Reid |
| 12 | Hon Attorney General, Mr. Rupert Jones Hon First Nominated Member, Mr. Terry Harrigan |
| 13 | Hon Second Nominated Member, Mr. Paul Harrigan |
| 14 | <u>In attendance</u> |
| 15 | Clerk to the House of Assembly, Mr. Lenox Proctor Court Reporter, Miss Carla S. Ritchie |
| 16 | Sergeant at Arms, Mr. Carl Ruan Chaplain, Pastor Lucien MacDonna |
| 17 | Absent |
| 18 | Hon Member for Valley North, Mr. Evans M. Rogers |
| 19 | Hon Member for Road North, Mrs. Evalie Bradley |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | Court Reporting Unit Government of Anguilla |
| 23 | The Valley, Anguilla BWI |
| 24 | PMT |
| 25 | DONE BY: CARLA S. RITCHIE, CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER |

| 1 | INDEX | age No. |
|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| 2 | | 4 |
| 3 | Report on Public Accounts Committee workshop by the Hon Member for Island Harbour | 4 |
| 4 | n 'll a nin and Can Dowt a Nuthority | 7 |
| 5 | Papers: Anguilla Air and Sea Ports Authority: Business Plan and Estimates 2016 laid on the table by the Hon Member for | 1 |
| 6 | Road South | |
| 7 | Question posed by the Hon Member for Island Harbour to the Hon Member for Valley South | 7 |
| 8 | Response by the Hon Member for Valley South to | 8 |
| 9 | question posed by the Hon Member for Island Harbour | |
| 10 | Other Business - Government Business: | |
| 11 | | 1.0 |
| 12 | Eastern Caribbean Asset Management Corporation (Amendment) Bill, 2016 | 19 |
| 13 | Second Reading by the Hon Member for Valley South | |
| 14 | Contributions to the Eastern Caribbean | |
| 15 | Asset Management Corporation (Amendment) Bill, 2016 by: | |
| 16 | Hon Member for Road South | 26 |
| 17 | Hon First Nominated Member Hon Member for Island Harbour | 29 34 |
| ###750 ## 55 | Hon Member for Sandy Hill | 47 |
| 18 | Hon Member for West End | 51 |
| 19 | Response by the Hon Member for Valley South | 53 |
| 20 | Engton Caribbean Agget Management | 66-69 |
| 21 | Eastern Caribbean Asset Management Corporation (Amendment) Bill, 2016 given its Second and Third Readings | 00 05 |
| 22 | g, c.,c. | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |

Meanings of Symbols and Words used in Transcripts 1 2 Dashes 3 4 A series of two dashes (--) is used to show: (1) Changes of thought: When the speaker has a 5 sudden change of thought leaving a sentence unfinished to begin a new sentence or a phrase. 6 7 (2) Mid-word: When a speaker leaves a word unfinished and starts another word. 8 (3) Interruption: When a speaker is interrupted by 9 another speaker. These dashes normally appear at the end of the sentence. When the dashes appear at the beginning of the sentence it means 10 that the speaker continued speaking even though interrupted or resumed the sentence after the 11 interruption. 12 13 The word (indiscernible): 14 Used when a word or phrase is unintelligible and cannot be transcribed due to interruption, simultaneous speech, 15 cough, voice lowered. 16 The word (inaudible): 17 Used when a speaker cannot be heard. 18 19 The word (phonetic): 20 When the correct spelling of a word or name cannot be ascertained from available resource material the word is typed as it sounds phonetically and the word phonetic 21 follows in brackets. 22 23 The word (sic): 24 Used when a speaker uses a term or word or figure/number that is known to be incorrect. 25

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

(Meeting convened at 10:04 a.m.)

THE CHAPLAIN:

Good morning to

everyone. In Romans 8 and verse 25 says:

"But if we hope for that we do not see then we do wait patiently for it, for we know that all things work together for good to them who love God, to them who are called according to his promises."

We thank you O God for your love and your grace you have bestowed upon us. A new day have dawned. We thank you for your continual guidance and your wisdom. We pray that you will continue to grant this Government wisdom, understanding and strength. We pray God that in this house as we open that you will continue to cause each person to grow with the knowledge and the strength of your grace. May all things that are discussed in this house today be done according to your will. We ask your blessings upon this house, through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.

THE SPEAKER: Please be seated.

Thank you, Pastor MacDonna. Good morning to everyone.

THE CLERK: Confirmation of

Minutes: None. Oaths of Allegiance: None. Announcements by the Direction of the Speaker.

THE SPEAKER: The only thing, the Leader of the Opposition are you prepared to give an update on

the workshop we had last week?

MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Thank you,

Mr. Speaker. I, as Chair of the Public Accounts Committee,

I'm prepared to give a statement, yes. Thank you,

Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I want to put on record the committee's gratitude to the Commonwealth Parliamentary Association UK for their continued support as we seek to make operational the Anguilla Public Accounts Committee. Their support in the planning and execution of this workshop was critical to its success and I also want to use this opportunity to thank the Governor's office and the Government of Anguilla for their role in providing funding for the workshop.

Mr. Speaker, you'll remember that the workshop was designed to provide a comprehensive introduction of the role and functions of the Public Accounts Committee to members of the House of Assembly, to Permanent Secretaries, to Department Heads, to members of Statutory Boards, to the Chief Executives of Statutory Boards, civil society, the media, our young persons, young people and all other relevant stakeholders.

Another objective, Mr. Speaker, was to facilitate discussion and to build relationships and understanding between the Public Accounts Committee and

relevant partners such as the Audit office, Accounting officers and Government departments on their role and remit in relation to the Public Accounts Committee.

And a third objective was to facilitate discussion to create a framework for the implementation of international good practice in oversight of the executive related to public accounts in the Anguilla context.

Mr. Speaker, and I know you were there and I want to thank you for being there. The turnout was excellent and far exceeded our expectations in all the stakeholder groupings. This points to the desire of the public for greater transparency and accountability in Government.

Some of the highlights were a mock committee hearing which allowed stakeholders to witness how an actual committee conducts its proceedings. Another highlight was the quality of discussion among members of this house on the role of the Public Accounts Committee. The session with the Chief Auditor of Anguilla via video link was also a highlight. It pointed to the kind of resources we have in technology and how we could use this in the Public Accounts Committee's context and generally. The committee's interaction was another highlight, the committee's interaction with members of civil society and the discussion led by the chair of the Constitutional Reform Committee on proposals for the Public Accounts Committee in the new constitution. You know, that is

just a tentative framework. We haven't had public consultations on the Constitutional Committee Reform proposals yet. The opportunity to network was another highlight and we had the opportunity to share, not only with the UK Parliament, but also with the Wales Assembly and the BVI Assembly.

2.4

Coming out of the workshop the committee would like to reaffirm its commitment to all its stakeholders to:

(1) Not turn the work of the PAC into a political witch hunt. We've seen that in the past. We are going to continue to engage constructively with all our stakeholders and we're going to continue to be informed by international good practice. So moving forward, Mr. Speaker, the committee will lay on the table its work plan 2016 during the regular May meeting of this house. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

THE SPEAKER: Thank you very much.

Let me thank the Clerk again for the work he did in preparing for that conference. Thank you.

MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Mr. Speaker, and I've done it publicly so often and I know that the Clerk is one of, you know, the Anguillians who like to work so hard behind the scenes and not take credit for it but I too want to add my congratulations and thanks to the Clerk and the staff, the general staff. I think the visitors were so impressed at the quality of the talent and the commitment that that office demonstrated. And I want to thank you, Mr. Speaker, in that

| 1 | regard as well. Thank you very much. |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | THE SPEAKER: Thank you. |
| 3 | THE CLERK: Papers: Anguilla Air |
| 4 | and Sea Ports Authority Business Plan and Estimates, 2016. |
| 5 | MEMBER FOR ROAD SOUTH: Mr. Speaker, in |
| 6 | accordance with section 13 of the Legislative Assembly |
| 7 | Procedure Rules 1976, the Financial Administration and Audit |
| 8 | Act RSC F27 and the Anguilla Air and Sea Ports Authority Act, |
| 9 | RSA A57, I beg leave to lay on the table of this Honourable |
| 10 | House the executive summary of the 2016 Anguilla Air and Sea |
| 11 | Ports business plan and the 2016 Estimates of Recurrent and |
| 12 | Capital Expenditure and the Estimates of Revenue and other |
| 13 | financing for the Anguilla Air and Sea Ports authority. Thank |
| 14 | you, Mr. Speaker. |
| 15 | THE SPEAKER: Thank you. |
| 16 | THE CLERK: Reports from |
| 17 | Committees: None. Petitions: None. Government Notices: |
| 18 | None. Unofficial Notices: None. Questions. |
| 19 | MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Thank you, |
| 20 | Mr. Speaker. I have The Honourable Member for Island |
| 21 | Harbour to ask the Honourable Member for Valley South, the |
| 22 | Chief Minister: |
| 23 | (1) Whether he or any member of his Government |
| | |
| 24 | involved in the process of resolving the banking crisis, has |

connection with the Government's decision to protect depositors to the extent of US\$4 million and in connection with the treatment of shareholder interests?

(2) And if he or any member of his Government has or have had conflicts of interest, what is the nature and extent of such conflicts, and did he or any other member of his Government recuse themselves from the decision making process on account of any such conflict?

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Thank you,

Mr. Speaker. I will respond to the questions raised by the

Member for Island Harbour. First question:

"(1) Whether he or any member of his Government involved in the process of resolving the banking crisis, has or have any conflict or conflicts of interest in connection with the Government's decision to protect depositors to the extent of US\$4 million and in connection with the treatment of shareholder interests?"

My answer, Mr. Speaker: It is obvious that the Member for Island Harbour has a serious gap in her information regarding the very basic principles of the ECCB policy on the protection of customer's deposits in the resolution process. This once again suggests that she may not have been paying attention or that I may have wasted time meeting with her as well as taking her to the ECCB meeting in St. Kitts.

Firstly, the idea of protecting customer's deposits a hundred percent is not a decision of Government of Anguilla, it is the policy of the Eastern Caribbean Currency Union.

2.1

2.2

Secondly, customers are protected to the extent of a hundred percent of their deposits, not just to US\$4 million.

Thirdly, the treatment of shareholder interest is in keeping with the practice used in the resolution of all insolvent financial institutions, namely, their equity stake is only determined after the customer's interest have been resolved.

And finally, to respond to what appears to be the core purpose of her question. No member of the Government, including myself, had reason to recuse themselves given the fact that specific decisions on the technical aspects of the resolution were made by experts from various international and regional agencies. The task of these experts was to ensure that a system was put in place that protected all customer deposits 100 percent. The determination as to the ceiling for protection in the various entities in the resolution process is purely scientific and designed to ensure that it is both equitable and affordable. Simply put, it is based on a mathematical formulation without reference to personalities or specific interests.

And question, the second question: "If he or any member of his Government has had any conflicts of interest, what is the nature and extent of such conflicts, and did he or any member of his Government recuse themselves from

the decision making process on account of such conflict?"

The answer, Mr. Speaker: The last part of the response to the previous question applies. For the reasons stated therein no member of the Government, including myself, had reason to recuse themselves. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

THE SPEAKER: Thank you. Thank you.

MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: May it please you,
Mr. Speaker, I have a supplementary question just based on the
answer that the Honourable Chief Minister has provided in
relation to this question.

THE SPEAKER: Go ahead.

MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Thank you,

Mr. Speaker. The question that I have for the Chief Minister

has to do, he said that all depositors were protected 100

percent and this conflicts with what he's previously disclosed

in relation to the treatment of depositors in the offshore

banks. All that discussion around, you know, how depositors

— It doesn't, it doesn't — I wonder if he can answer

specifically the question what, how has he treated, what

protections have been afforded to the depositors in the

offshore banks?

2.4

THE SPEAKER:

Was that included in

the first question, the offshore banks?

MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: It's the banks. They are the banks.

THE SPEAKER: But you were dealing with the local banks, right. You need to specifically ask about the offshore banks.

MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Mr. Speaker, if you read, if you take a literal, a literal interpretation and I'm only asking you for a plain, simple, literal interpretation of my question and it doesn't demarcate or delineate any bank, it's the local banks. And previously, that discussion has come up in this house with reference to the resolution of the banking crisis and it is relevant. So Mr. Speaker, I don't understand why, particularly because this matter has come on with such late notice and I've just been handed the response, how a matter that is so important in relation to this resolution why that challenge should be raised with it. It's a simple, literal interpretation. It's not delineated in any way and I don't think it's fair, Mr. Speaker, for you to seek to delineate it now.

THE SPEAKER: Are you talking about the offshore branches of the local banks or offshore branches in general?

MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Mr. Speaker, it's 1 public record that both NBA and CCB had offshore subsidiaries. 2 It's on public record. It includes that, the resolution has 3 4 to address that. So that's the question THE SPEAKER: 5 I was asking if you're dealing with the offshore branches for 6 7 the local banks? MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Well it's one and the 8 same. It's what we've held out to the world. 9 But you have other 10 THE SPEAKER: 11 offshore banks too. MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Mr. Speaker, can I 12 13 respond? THE SPEAKER: Yes. 14

MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Mr. Speaker, you're quite right that the Member for Island Harbour was not specific regarding the offshore banks. Mr. Speaker, the Government of Anguilla and the Eastern Caribbean Central Bank in their work on the resolution crisis would have included a consideration of the offshore banks which are subsidiaries of the parent company. The issues that the member raised has to do with the customers deposits. And there is every intention, Mr. Speaker, as I've pointed out earlier, to deal with the domestic banks which is the responsibility of the Eastern Caribbean Central Bank because they are regulated by them as

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

well as the offshore subsidiaries which are regulated by the Financial Services Commission of which I am not a part but it's a part of the whole Financial Services Sector in Anguilla and must be given consideration.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The issue here as raised by the Member for Island Harbour is whether or not the offshore banks' subsidiaries will be treated in the same way. They will be treated in the same way, Mr. Speaker, once it's determined, because there is a question of whether the offshore subsidiaries are single deposits or depositors comprising all the customers who have deposited in the offshore subsidiary because the funds in the offshore subsidiaries would have been graduated up to the parent bank, lodged with the parent bank and the question is whether or not they are separate deposits because as single deposits the offshore, the customers in offshore banks do not have accounts in the parent banks. as a consequence, that is an issue that is being discussed and when that is resolved, Mr. Speaker, the Government of Anguilla, the Eastern Caribbean Central Bank will include that also in their resolution process. And that is as much as I can say. That discussion has to take place between the offshore subsidiaries and the parent bank, the ECCB. The ECCB and the Financial Services Commission are having some discussions about that. That is as far as I can say on that, Mr. Speaker. Thank you very much.

| 1 | THE SPEAKER: Thank you. |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Another supplemental |
| 3 | question, Mr. Speaker. |
| 4 | THE SPEAKER: You can just give one |
| 5 | supplementary. |
| 6 | MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: I'm sorry? |
| 7 | THE SPEAKER: You can just give one |
| 8 | supplementary. That's a supplementary to a supplementary? |
| 9 | MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Mr. Speaker, I've |
| 10 | asked you to |
| 11 | THE SPEAKER: It's a simple |
| 12 | question. Is that a supplementary to the supplementary? |
| 13 | MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Mr. Speaker, with all |
| 14 | due respect, I've got Erskine May here, the authority. |
| 15 | THE SPEAKER: I asked a question. |
| 16 | MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: And there is no limit |
| 17 | |
| 18 | THE SPEAKER: I asked a question. |
| 19 | Is that a supplementary to the supplementary or a |
| 20 | supplementary to the original question? |
| 21 | MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: No. It's |
| 22 | supplemental to the answers given in relation to the conflicts |
| 23 | of interest relating to the members which arose out of the |
| 24 | preliminary question. There were two parts to the question |
| 25 | that I asked. |

THE SPEAKER:

Yes.

MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: And one part has not been answered.

It doesn't surprise me, Mr. Speaker, that the answer the Chief Minister, the Honourable Chief Minister gave is not a full answer. What the people want to know, Mr. Speaker, and it is your job to assure fairness. What the people want to know out of --

THE SPEAKER: Listen, listen.

Listen, are you saying I'm not fair? I'm just asking a question so I can be satisfied.

MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Okay, Mr. Speaker.

THE SPEAKER: So don't say I'm not

-- I didn't tell you you can't ask the question.

MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Mr. Speaker, you always hear me in this chambers say that I presume good intention. I absolutely always presume good intention but what I am asking is this: The question I want to know, if he said that because -- The Honourable Chief Minister said, if I understood him correctly, that the reason that there wasn't a conflict of interest or conflicts of interest in relation to any of the members was because the model that was put forward by the ECCB and advisers of a hundred percent protection did not allow, did not require them to excuse themselves on another basis.

Now, Mr. Speaker, I want to ask the Honourable
Chief Minister as a supplemental to that aspect of my
question. I want to ask him: Did you or any other member of
your Government, irrespective of the advice of those entities
you named, did you or any of your members consider and discuss
the conflicts of interest that might have derived from
familial relationships, from the fact that some of your
members or at least one of your members had functioned as a
director of one of those entities of the banks, all of these
which, on the face of it, would require that those members of
your Government recuse themselves? Did you consider that at
all? Did the Honourable Chief Minister consider that and
those matters prior to determining, deciding on the resolution
model that they had received advice to implement?

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

2.4

almost ask the Honourable Member for Island Harbour the question whether she will want to recuse herself from this meeting here this morning. Because certainly if she stretches it to the level that she's stretching it, it would mean that she may have a conflict of interest as well.

Because she's a company formation agent that has accounts in trust companies in the offshore subsidiaries. The question

that she asked and the specific question which I responded to

was, and I repeat: "Whether he or any member of his

MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Mr. Speaker, I should

Government involved in the process of resolving the banking crisis has or have any conflict of interest in connection with the Government's decision to protect depositors to the extent of \$4 million?" And first of all, Mr. Speaker, that premise is wrong. Depositors are protected a hundred percent. And in connection with the treatment of shareholders' interest, the treatment of shareholders' interest, Mr. Speaker, we all are shareholders here. I'm not a shareholder in National Bank but I'm certainly a shareholder -- was a shareholder in CCB. treatment of shareholders has been dealt with in the manner it is dealt with in all situations where a bank becomes insolvent. The first thing you deal with is ensure that the customers' interest are protected and after that you make a determination of the equity stake of the shareholders involved. So there is no need for any member of the Government to recuse themselves. They are simply responding, we are simply responding to a resolution that has been brought into play based on the policy of the Eastern Caribbean Currency Union that for banks that are insolvent that the Governments of the region should ensure that customers' deposits are guaranteed a hundred percent. There has been some back and forth on this issue with the Foreign and Commonwealth Office because there was a determination that perhaps there should be a buy-in by certain stakeholders in the bank, or that perhaps shareholders or customers should

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

take a cut on their deposits. Those considerations were not a part of the issue because as members of the Eastern Caribbean Central Bank and the Eastern Caribbean Currency Union, we would have gone along with the policy. The determination of the specifics of the resolution, Mr. Speaker, when it comes down to dollars and cents had to do with the mathematical formulation without reference to any customers account, any personalities. The issue was not an investigation of the banks, the directors, the management, the staff. The issue was the resolution of the banking crisis to create stability in the banking sector. The Government of Anguilla and its ministers did not necessarily have any determining purpose/function in that exercise, Mr. Speaker. The first thing that was determined by the Government of Anguilla was whether or not the various resolutions that were brought before it were affordable. And that is the only issue, Mr. Speaker. There was no need, because that's a normal function of members of Executive Council to look at the budget and examine it and make a determination whether it's in their estimation affordable or whether or not there is any need for any additional revenue measures to meet the requirements and the Government's obligations. That was it. Mr. Speaker, as it relates to the offshore

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Speaker, as it relates to the offshore subsidiaries, I repeat, the offshore subsidiaries at this point, the regulator of the offshore subsidiaries, as the

Member for Island Harbour well knows because she's intimately 1 involved, the regulator for offshore subsidiaries is the 2 Anguilla Financial Services Commission, and they are taking 3 action appropriate with that responsibility to ensure that the 4 customers of the offshore subsidiaries who they are there to 5 protect are dealt with in the resolution process. Thank you 6 7 very much, Mr. Speaker. Thank you. 8 THE SPEAKER: Motions. Other 9 THE CLERK: 10 business, Government business: The Eastern Caribbean Asset Management Corporation (Amendment) Bill, 2016, second reading. 11 12 MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Mr. Speaker, I move 13 that a Bill shortly entitled the Eastern Caribbean Asset Management Corporation (Amendment) Act, 2016, be read a second 14 15 time. 16 MEMBER FOR SANDY HILL: I second the motion, 17 Mr. Speaker. 18 MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Mr. Speaker, this is a very short Bill. It is exactly seven pages long including the 19 20 objects and reasons. It has eight clauses, eight sections and it relates to the substantive Bill, the Eastern Caribbean 21

Mr. Speaker, that Bill enables the member states of the Eastern Caribbean Central Bank to form an agreement for the Eastern Caribbean Asset Management Corporation facility

Asset Management Corporation Bill, Mr. Speaker.

22

23

24

25

which is used to ensure that non-performing loans and other measures that -- issues that arise in the indigenous banking sector in particular, can be dealt with outside of the normal banking operations, to the benefit of the overall financial system, the overall banking system. Mr. Speaker, the agreement to this Bill, which is the schedule referred to as Schedule 1, was established, signed on the 24th day of February, 2015, and the text is set out as a part of the schedule to the Bill. For those who may not have been paying attention, the Eastern Caribbean Asset Management Corporation Agreement would have been signed by the then Chief Minister, Minister of Finance and Member of the Monetary Council, Mr. Hubert Hughes, on the 24th day of February 2015. Before my time, Mr. Speaker.

During the presentation of this Bill in the
House of Assembly, Mr. Speaker, and in the various
consultations and comments and discussions that would have
taken place both in house, that means in the Executive Council
and the Ministry of Finance as well as in the wider community,
Mr. Speaker, in programmes, on radio and so on and so forth, a
number of concerns would have been raised about the
implementation of this Bill and to ensure that the Bill was in
keeping with the laws of Anguilla as well as the Anguilla
constitution. So in response to those issues raised, a number
of amendments have been suggested, approved in Executive

Council to amend the substantive Act that would have been passed in the House of Assembly earlier this year or last year. Last year, November last year.

First of all, Mr. Speaker, we have come to the point where we cannot sign up to the agreement so we must accede to the agreement because other member states have already signed up so the Bill has now become law in the Eastern Caribbean Currency Union, the Eastern Caribbean Central Bank and members who would not have signed up in that grouping which would have the effect of making it law in the region but it's five members, five of the eight members were required for that purpose. The other members coming on stream would now have to accede to the Act, to the agreement, to the Bill.

So section 1 indicates that the "principal Act", and I refer to it as the "substantive Act", means the Eastern Caribbean Asset Management Corporation Act which was passed in 2015. So the first section of this Bill defines, interprets the "principal Act" to mean the Eastern Caribbean Asset Management Corporation Act.

Second section amends Section 1 of the principal Act by deleting the definition of "Agreement" and substituting it with the following. The definition of Agreement in the principal Act reads as follows:

"Agreement" means the agreement establishing the

Eastern Caribbean Asset Management Corporation, signed on the 24th day of February 2016, the text of which is set out in the schedule to the Act.

The amended section reads as followed:

"Agreement" means the agreement establishing the Eastern Caribbean Asset Management Corporation signed on the 24th day of February 2015, the text of which is set out in schedule 1.

Third section: Repeal and substitution of section 4 of the principal Act. Section 4 of the principal Act is repealed and substituted as follows. Section 4 of the principal Act reads as follows:

"Exemption: The following Acts does not apply to the Corporation, the Banking Act, 2015; the Stamp Duty Act; and (2) The enforcement of any security including a charge or mortgage (whether legal or equitable) acquired by the Corporation is not subject to the Registered Land Act, or any other Act, law or enactment in Anguilla."

Mr. Speaker, I can recall during the consultations there was a lot of concerns about this statement in the Act because it is felt it is too wide and the amendment now restricts the reference to any other Act made and passed by the Legislature of Anguilla. Obviously, Mr. Speaker, as the original section read, it would also include orders in council, I imagine, and any other laws or enactments made in

Anguilla -- made to the umm, passed by the legislature of
Anguilla -- in Anguilla, sorry. They are restricting it now
to "any other Act made and passed by the Legislature of
Anguilla," rather than law or enactment in Anguilla. So it
removes the wide usage of the term: "Any law or enactment in
Anguilla" and replacing it by "Any other Act made and passed
by the Legislature of Anguilla." So that deals with the issue
or the concern that perhaps orders in council which are
allowable under the constitution will not be impacted by this.

The other part repealed is the part which deals with the inconsistency with other legislation. And that is under section 5 of the principal Act. Section 5 of the principal Act as it now stands reads as follows:

"In the event of any inconsistency between the provisions of this Act and the operation of any other law the provisions of this Act shall prevail to the extent of the inconsistency."

That, Mr. Speaker, and the reference to any, and the reference to any other law is now restricted by replacing that section with: "In the event of any inconsistency between the provisions of this Act and the operation of any other Act made and passed by the Legislature of Anguilla, the provisions of this Act shall prevail to the extent of the inconsistency."

So again, it narrows the reference to and restricts the reference to other Act made and passed in

Anguilla, any other law in Anguilla.

The other amendment, Mr. Speaker, has to do with section 13 of the principal Act. And section 13 of the principal Act deals with the commencement of the Act. It's repealed and substituted with the following words:

"This Act shall come into force on the date which the Governor may by Notice published in the Gazette appoint."

In other words, Mr. Speaker, the original Act came into force on the day it was passed in the House of Assembly and signed off by the Speaker and of course at the end of the day assented by the Secretary of State. In this case it does not have to come into effect when it was passed in the house it now comes into effect when the Governor makes the notice and publish it in the gazette because now we are acceding to the Act rather than the Act being passed in the House of Assembly by the Government of Anguilla.

The second part of the umm -- the sixth section of the amendment, Mr. Speaker: "The principal Act is amended by replacing the signature page that follows Article 107 of the schedule." The schedule of the Act is this large part which deals with the agreement. And under section 107, section 107 which deals with the issue of reservations, we will now put in place the signature page which includes all the Governments, the participating Governments up to the

agreement, which includes the Government of Antigua and Barbuda, the Government of Dominica, the Government of Grenada, Montserrat, St. Christopher/Nevis, St. Lucia and St. Vincent. And on this occasion, once it's acceded to by Anguilla we will now be also be appearing on that page. Signed by the Government of Anguilla.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That is the amendment in section 6 of the Act and we're now inserting a new section immediately after section 11. And section 11 of the principal Act deals with Sunset and Savings, the termination clause under the Act. And this section deals with accession. 11(a) is the new section being inserted. The agreement was acceded to by Victor F. Banks for and on behalf of the Government and people of Anguilla on the date, the day in question. Mr. Speaker, that is the other amendment to the Act and it's the insertion of a new section under 11(a) which deals with the issue of accession because we're now acceding to the Act. And the citation is now cited as: The "Eastern Caribbean Asset Management Corporation Act -- (Amendment) Act 2016." Leroy C. Rogers, Speaker, and passed by the House of Assembly this day, which would be today. That changes the section under citation which just said: "This Act may be cited as the Eastern Caribbean Management Corporation Act, 2016."

Mr. Speaker, all of this is the final leg in putting in place the various enabling pieces of legislation to

legislation which has to do with the Eastern Caribbean Asset
Management Corporation which is a critical part of the
resolution process because we would be dealing with the non
performing loans which will be then managed, giving
customers/borrowers another opportunity to dispose of their
obligations while either by reformulating their loans or
getting the opportunity to probably dispose of some of their
assets, bring in new partners if it's a business loan, an
investor, and finally put themselves in a position to either
be compliant again or to have met their commitments.

So Mr. Speaker, I urge members of this

Honourable House to give this their full support. I want to

make note and repeat the fact that the schedule to the Act,

the schedule to the principal Act has to do with the agreement

which was already signed on the 24th of February 2015 by the

past, the former Chief Minister of Anguilla and Minister of

Finance, the Honourable Hubert Benjamin Hughes. Thank you

very much, Mr. Speaker.

THE SPEAKER: Thank you. I now invite debate on the merits and principles of this Bill.

Member for Road South.

MEMBER FOR ROAD SOUTH: Thank you,

Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, I rise to give my support for this Bill, for the amendments. It is a good thing to note that we

are moving steadily forward. This is just another step towards bringing the fulfillment of a resolution to the banking crisis in Anguilla. I have gone down on record to commend the Honourable Member for Valley South, the Chief Minister, the leader of Government business for his diligence in not allowing himself to become paralyzed, but from the moment he took up the challenge and the charge given to him by the people and by our organization to lead this Government he has stepped forward and have done a credible job in moving this resolution forward. I think that all the people of Anguilla are delighted to know that a new thing is happening in Anguilla. And Mr. Speaker, this is what we intend to do in this five year term that we have in office. I, as the Minister for Infrastructure, I'm working diligently with my team. We're looking forward to the day when we will have a new ferry terminal in Blowing Point, the new fire hall, the new tower, a new road to Sandy Ground and we are working out on the airport presently. New things are happening, Mr. Speaker, here in Anguilla and this Eastern Caribbean Asset Management Corporation Bill is just another opportunity for the Anguillians to see that it is indicative of the fact that we are intending to do new things.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Shortly, the people of Anguilla will realise that there's going to be a new wharf at Sandy Ground, a new bypass on the Jeremiah Highway, a new constitution, a new

labour code and they also will come to realise when all is said and done by the leading of the Honourable Chief Minister that we will have a new bank. I look forward to the day when we can put our country in a position where we can say to ourselves one to another that we are moving on from strength to strength. I give this my full support and I want the people of Anguilla to know that it has not been an easy road to travel but we have kept our commitment to making sure that we move this country upwards, onwards and forward.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

In everything, Mr. Speaker, you will always have the Sanballats and the Tobiahs. In every nation they will always find people whose job it is to criticise. We do not have the luxury of being numbered among the critics because we were elected on April the 23rd 2015, and when the votes were coming in at that moment I had the good sense, Mr. Speaker, to note that I was not elected to become a critic, I was elected with a serious responsibility and charged with high performance. And Mr. Speaker, high performance is a must for me, high performance is a must for this Government and this Bill here this morning that we are passing is just indicative of the fact that this is a high performance Government. are moving on in Anguilla and I think all the people of Anguilla have come to recognize, amidst all of the chatter, that a new thing is being done in Anguilla and Anguilla is moving on from strength to strength. I thank you very much

for listening.

2.0

2.2

THE SPEAKER:

Thank you. Any other

contri -- First Nominated Member.

FIRST NOMINATED MEMBER: Mr. Speaker, my response may be a little skewed but it does deal with the Eastern Caribbean Asset Management Corporation (Amendment) Bill.

Mr. Speaker, this Bill ensures that the holders of bad loans have the opportunity for fair treatment; and what I mean is a chance to revisit and restructure their loans. This, to me, is the key issue and not as some say on the radio station, to purchase the real estate of people. Mr. Speaker, in every country there's a place where you can go and find out what real estate is up for sale. This should not alarm anyone. That is what entrepreneurs do on a daily basis throughout the world. It is not reflective of any Government's desire or decision to sell one's property as you hear on the radio.

Mr. Speaker, the alarmist and ludicrous comments by a disgruntled few because of the shift of authority in Government make for a sad tale in this country of ours. What this Government is doing is to ensure that we have greater options to obtain our properties if we have delinquent loans. Certainly, those clamoring with loud voices on the radio and in the streets understand that failure to meet one's

obligations can have negative consequences. This is the harsh reality when entering such agreements. Nobody wants this to occur. Over the past few months amid callous claims, insignificant pockets of protest and political meandering, the leadership of this Government has remained strong. Mr. Speaker, at times the road may seem difficult but it is the obligation of this Government and any Government never to make it easy to cross -- always to make it easy to cross. While the work of all the ministers and others has been arduous, our country should be proud of the leadership of the Honourable Victor Franklin Banks. The Minister from South Valley is not about accolades and I may venture to say, my admiration for his work is not an exaggeration. In the face of knowing the budget was about to be signed, the Chief Minister followed his scheduled programme to do the people's business. I just thought it very important to mention that.

Mr. Speaker, our desire to serve our country well should guarantee the best solutions for our people. The Eastern Caribbean Asset Management Corporation (Amendment) Bill will provide such a guarantee. Mr. Speaker, the Bill is vitally important because it gives those with loans, which in so many cases were impacted by the global recession, another chance. We can embrace the assumption by some with huge outstanding loans that a failed CCB or NBA would guarantee an escape from their financial responsibilities. Indeed, that

would not happen. The argument that an office would be setup somewhere in St. Kitts and a whole bunch of people would suddenly purchase the lands in Anguilla has also grown to silence. This silence has occurred because this is not true. The truth is that this is an opportunity to retain what you have. However, an equally important truth is that you cannot eat your cake and have it too.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Speaker, these amendments are important to the banking resolution. The argument that this Government should let the banking situation unfold on its own is a useless one. That is precisely what the previous Government did after inviting ECCB into Anguilla. We can come to the accurate conclusion that during a period of 20 months they presented no virtual solution to the banking crisis. Likewise, there has been no timely, viable alternative presented by anyone within the public. Mr. Speaker, the idea that two or three investors could buy out the bad loans would give absolute control to these investors. Perhaps Anguillians, perhaps, would never be guaranteed an opportunity to retain control of their banks. One may consider who is the best to create a resolution to this problem; is it the Government or somebody somewhere in Timbuktu working along with the same people that caused the financial distress? believe the former Chief Minister, the Honourable Hubert Hughes, had no help in this area from other members of his

I sincerely empathise with him. I believe his Government. team to be incapable of a well thought out solution. I believe they created a chorus of opposition and a struggle against those in position to help. Certainly, there was always a disregard for mutual respect and diplomacy when engaging other Government agencies, Mr. Speaker. We can fast forward to this Government and recognise a resilient approach by all involved and a determination to get things right. is this Government's ability to work with its counterparts, Mr. Speaker, that will move Anguilla forward. Mr. Speaker, those who suggest that we should never intervene and allow those who cause the problem to create the solution are really suggesting that this Government should ignore depositors hard earned life savings as well as social security deposits and investments. Mr. Speaker, this would amount to civil unrest in our country. We cannot allow a few people, angered by their political loss, to set the Government's agenda, to set the people's agenda. Similarly, we cannot be deterred in our efforts to deliver the best goods and services for our country. No Government can afford to give in to less than a hand full of egocentric people. We have come far, Mr. Speaker, and while others have engaged in breaking down doors to create discontent, this Government has been building relationships to enhance opportunity for all Anguilla. have been methodically removing barriers and paving the way

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for economic development. The resolution to the banking crisis is the first step in that direction. Mr. Speaker, there are tremendous possibilities as we begin to restructure our banks and to move Anguilla from economic disaster to economic prosperity. Mr. Speaker, I was happy when the Honourable Member from Island Harbour in her radio interview on Klass FM last week responded well to the following question concerning taxes in Anguilla. It was put to her that Nevis and St. Kitts were reducing property taxes while Anguilla's property taxes had risen. The member responded honestly, that our situations were quite different. And Mr. Speaker, indeed they are quite different.

Mr. Speaker, all of us would prefer to have a greater economic development and more job creation rather than to rely primarily on taxes or on taxing our people in order to carry out the services. I'm sure with a hard working Government such as this, a vibrant economy and everyone on board we can reach to that place. Mr. Speaker, the key to that venture is the undeniable fact that our bank must be strong in order for investors to have greater confidence; our people must be responsible in setting the tone and being truthful about progress in our country. The media has a major responsibility to be fair, honest and accurate in their responses and address to our people. Mr. Speaker, meanwhile our Government will continue to demonstrate that sense of

commitment, responsibility and accountability to all stakeholders. I support the passage of the Eastern Caribbean Asset Management Corporation (Amendment) Bill as a movement in the right direction. Mr. Speaker, I encourage everyone to get on board as well, unite our country, support the approach and solutions formulated by the Government and move Anguilla forward. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

THE SPEAKER: Thank you. Any other contributions? If there are no other contributions the mover may reply.

MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: I wish to give -
THE SPEAKER: Member for Island

Harbour. Yes.

MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Thank you,

Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, Suzy Kassem is an American writer, she's a poet, a philosopher and she is a multifaceted artist of Egyptian origin. She is the granddaughter of a well known sage in 19th century Cairo. Mysticism and wisdom run through her blood just as the water of the Nile flows through her veins. She was born in November -- I'm sorry, in December 1975, so she is about 40 years old and she lives in Toledo, Ohio and her public works (sic) well recognised internationally include "Rise Up and Salute the Sun". She's a modern thinker in a modern world and her wisdom cannot

therefore be brushed aside as out of date.

Mr. Speaker, a short extract from that book is worth pondering as we near the end of our weary, frustrating and blinkered journey towards our Government's so called, and I quote "resolution" of the banking crisis, with, very sadly, even less hope today that the Government would hear the cries of the people of Anguilla as we had at the beginning of this process.

Suzy Kassem, and I quote "Rise Up and Salute the Sun". I quote, Mr. Speaker:

"A great leader must serve the best interests of the people first. Human life should never be sacrificed for monetary profit. There are no exceptions. In addition, a leader should always be open to criticism, not silencing dissent. And any leader who does not tolerate criticism from the public is afraid of their dirty hands being revealed under heavy light. And such a leader is dangerous, because they only feel secure in the darkness. Only a leader who is free from corruption welcomes scrutiny; for scrutiny allows a good leader to be an even greater leader."

Mr. Speaker, I think that you would agree that, if I have accomplished anything, during this so-called "banking resolution" process, I have made the case for transparency and consultation on the part of the Government, an advocacy that has, to a shocking and unforgivable extent,

fallen on deaf ears.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Speaker, that advocacy started on the 1st of June when the Anguilla Day celebrations, almost a year ago now, that's when my advocacy started. As long ago, Mr. Speaker, as 17th November, 2015, nearly five months ago now, Don Mitchell wrote a paper entitled, his paper is entitled, the article was entitled, and I quote: "Anguilla's Banking Crisis 2013-2015 and the new ECCB Banking Bill 2015." And in it, Mr. Speaker, he speculated, but as one would expect much of his speculation was, we call it on the Mayor Show, "bang on". Spot on, you may call it "spot on". He was spot on as to the potential consequences of the Government's intended resolution of the banking crisis. Hindsight 2020. And he said then, Mr. Speaker, and I quote: "The Chief Minister of Anguilla has, in repeated broadcasts on radio over the past two weeks, explained that he has decided on the resolution of the banking crisis. He is going to transfer all the bad loans (allegedly some 50 percent in both banks) to a new regional corporation to be established by Act of Parliament in each of the States and Territories giving effect to a regional Treaty. This regional company" -- And I'm continuing to quote from the article, Mr. Speaker. regional company will be known as the Asset Management Company." He called it AMC. "This company will renegotiate with defaulting borrowers and, as a last recourse, sell their

securities locally, regionally and internationally, with the
Alien Landholding Registration Act amended or repealed. The
participating Governments will share in the profits of the
Asset Management Corporation pro rata. We in Anguilla" -This is the Honourable retired Justice of Appeal, Don
Mitchell. "We in Anguilla have no further interest in the bad
loans sold, transferred or given (it is not clear which) to
the Asset Management Corporation."

Mr. Speaker, is this the concern that the
British Government has been concerned about? Is this
something the Honourable Chief Minister has omitted to confirm
for us or to tell us? Because later in that paper,
Mr. Mitchell went on to say, and I quote again:

"As I have written elsewhere, it is unfortunate that no sufficient effort was put into rescuing the two banks. An insertion of new capital, and the dilution of the existing shareholders' equity, as was done in the USA and in the UK, would have been eminently (sic) fairer." I might add,

Mr. Speaker, that it would also have been in Anguilla's best interest, in our people's best interest if that option had been taken.

Mr. Speaker, in that earlier paper, in an earlier paper, 9th November 2015, Mr. Mitchell made the following point, and again I quote:

"On 22nd September 2015, the House of Assembly

passed a resolution that "the Honourable Minister of Finance appoint a committee of the whole House to deal with the issues which affect the indigenous banking sector and ensure that all relevant information including proposals for the resolution of the issue be made available to the committee." Yet, the government has now attempted to introduce a new Banking Act in the House of Assembly without..." (and this is the pertinent part) "...without even a hint of an attempt at carrying the people with them. This may well be seen as an example of arrogant paternalism, and a failure to build trust and community of purpose, the very essence..." Mr. Speaker, "...of which is missing in Anguilla, and why so much is going wrong." Why there is, as the First Nominated just said (sic), a chorus of opposition. "Hopefully..." and I continue with Mr. Mitchell's point. "Hopefully, the Chief Minister's withdrawal of the first reading ... " (and he was talking about the Banking Bill) "...of the Bill in the face of public hostility from the gallery of the House is the start of a real discussion on the future of banking and the banking system of Anguilla. Whatever he does, it is essential that he honour the resolution of the House as well." Mr. Speaker, I repeat Mr. Mitchell's forlorn hope. And I quote again: "Hopefully, the Chief Minister's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

hope. And I quote again: "Hopefully, the Chief Minister's withdrawal of the First Reading of the Bill..." (He was referring to Bill, the Banking Bill as I said, but the same

comment, in my humble view, applies to all the rest of the banking resolution legislation.) "...in the face of public hostility from the gallery of the House is the start of a real discussion on the future of the banking system in Anguilla. Whatever he does, it is essential that he honour the resolution of the House as well."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We heard a lot in this past week about parliamentary supremacy and what it means and what it represents in terms of the model that we follow in Anguilla.

So Mr. Speaker, does this Government in general and the Honourable Chief Minister in particular, and I quote again, "only feel secure in the darkness?" It appears so to me because Mr. Mitchell's hope has remained spectacularly and tragically, I would add, unfulfilled. And I quote again: "The Chief Minister's withdrawal of the First Reading of the Bill in the face of public hostility from the gallery of the House would be the start of a real discussion on the future of the banking system of Anguilla. Whatever he does, it is essential that he honour the resolution of the House." And Mr. Speaker, I don't have to remind you that he was referring to an appointment of the committee of the whole house to deal with the issues which affect the indigenous banking sector. I believe he was referring to ensure that -- to that scenario to "ensure that all relevant information including proposals for the resolution of the issue be made available to the

committee." That was the resolution, Mr. Speaker, of this Honourable House.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You see, Mr. Speaker, the Chief Minister makes selective promises, seductive promises even, but when they relate to consulting with the Anguillian people or even with a committee of the whole house, he does not deliver. Has the Chief Minister engage with a committee of the whole house on the banking issue and ensure that all relevant information including proposals for the resolution of the issue be made available to the committee? He refers to this trip to St. Kitts; a facade. So the answer is a resounding no. He has not made anything available to the committee or to the people. Again I say no, he hasn't. We have no idea, Mr. Speaker, why deposits with the banks ought to be protected at the expense of the Anguilla people up to an astronomical sum. We don't even know what that is now since he've answered in his dodgy way, I would add, the questions put forward this morning in his customary dodgy way instead of what international practice considers that \$200,000 would be a fair amount. And we have no idea, Mr. Speaker, why the shareholders in the banks were not given the opportunity to attempt to refinance the banks by supporting the very institutions in which they'd invested. We saw that it's happened in the UK, it's happened in the US; why not here? The Honourable Chief Minister's dodgy responses to my

questions make it blindingly obvious, I believe, that he does not want the Anguillian people to know what his agenda is for the future.

Mr. Speaker, Anguillian land is our heritage.

The Bill now before this Honourable House provides for an institution which, in my view, will not be accountable to the people of Anguilla and which will provide untold opportunities for corrupt practices and manipulation. We've seen what happened in Turks and Caicos. We've learned from that experience. One only has to reflect on the disgraceful example of the exploitation by the NBA in the case of Cinnamon Reef where the rightful owners were deprived of value to the tune of some \$5 million through dishonest manipulation. There will be more scope and more than ample scope even for the same sort of shenanigans with the lands subject to non performing loans under this so-called banking resolution.

Mr. Speaker, Anguillians, the people are asking, is this the land bank that the Honourable Chief Minister has been calling for for a (sic) long ago as 1995 or thereabout? That is what the people are asking. Mr. Speaker, is this the worry that the British Government has? We don't know. We don't know. You know, Mr. Speaker, someone said to me after hearing the questions that I raised earlier, they said whatever is the CM, Chief Minister's answer, this is what they told me: "I'm glad that you've asked the questions for I

believe it is divine intervention at this time." The news about the Hound of Hounslow, the release of the Panama papers and Anguilla's name coming up in the House of Commons, this week, even though I don't have all the facts, Mr. Speaker, it is very worrying that some officials in Britain they are calling for direct rule of the overseas territories deem to be tax havens. Put on Sky news, put on reading the BBC, wherever you go that's the big thing. That's the light that has been thrust by virtue of the Panama papers. Big discussion to get Cameron to resign.

MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: O Lord.

MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Indeed. Indeed, we have to call to the Lord for help. Indeed. So before the Government forces this legislation through, I believe that the last piece in the so-called banking resolution the people of Anguilla should be told a few things: What local knowledge will the management of the Asset Management -- will the management of the Asset Management Corporation have of Anguilla's land heritage, of our critical connection with our land? Who on behalf of the people will be monitoring the company's activities? What will the Government be hoping to achieve in the first three years? What are the Government's aspirations for the situation after it pulls out at the end of three years, will the ECCB assume full control at that time? What redress will the owners of land have if it is sold at a

gross undervalue? Why does the legislation deprive Anguillians of the right to go to court if aggrieved? What rights will the owners of land subject to non-performing loans have to redeem their property? What guarantee is there that monies realised from non-performing loans will not be diverted to purposes that better suit the Government of the day and what does the Chief Minister mean when he says that it will be used for Government purposes? Is that what the British has been concerned about? Yes, the budget has been assented to; in one day after how many months? I believe the Minister for Road South is happy to say by virtue of his efforts. But Mr. Speaker, in -- when we heard that press release from the acting Chief Minister, the Honourable Curtis Richardson, he thanked Mr. James Duddridge for his role in scrutinising and asking hard questions and assenting to the budget and that Mr. Banks toiled for months and wrote several letters to accomplish. But have we seen the correspondence between the minister? Have the people had the benefit of knowing what those hard questions are? Is there a duty? Why is this in the dark? You know, Mr. Speaker, in his address in connection with the banking crisis again during Social Security week in the autumn of 2015, the Director of Social Security said that reserves exceed \$310 million. Why is the Government now saying that Social Security reserves are only about \$214 million? What's happened to the monies? What is going on?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We need to know. The people are asking that we are told what that is about.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Speaker, our Government does not need to behave as if it was in charge of a Banana Republic. It has better skills than that. I look across, we have better skills than that. But that is what it looks like. From the outside, from the other side, from the people's side, that is what this looks like. It reminds me, Mr. Speaker, because you know I'm a student of literature, you remember that; it's my passion. It reminds me of George Orwell's Animal Farm. I have that in common with the Chief Minister, we both like reading. It reminds me of George Orwell's Animal Farm where the pigs, after a successful revolution against their human masters became the supervisors of the farm. Napoleon, however, proves to be power hungry, a power hungry leader who steel the cow's milk and a number of apples to feed himself and the other pigs. He also enlist the services of Squealer, a pig with the ability to persuade the other animals that the pigs are always moral and correct in their decisions. Napoleon's lust for power increases to the point where he becomes a totalitarian dictator. He and the pigs move into the house previously occupied by the human farmer and began sleeping in beds (which Squealer excuses with his brand of twisted logic). The other animals receive less and less food while the pigs grow fatter and eventually the pigs began walking on their hind legs and

take on many other qualities of their former human oppressors.

The seven commandments are reduced to a single law; and I

quote Animal farm, amazing classic: "All animals are equal

but some are more equal than others."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Speaker, I could go on and on and on. go on and on and on. But just to pull up on that point that I made earlier about where we are in the world and why are we featured when the light has been thrown upon the Panama The focus has been on Anguilla and Cameron in the UK. And Cameron's actions are being scrutinised by the British people in the House of Parliament, Parliament being supreme. And his actions, Mr. Speaker, however legitimate at the time, has given the Labour Government another opportunity, yet another opportunity to advocate for an end to tax competition. And we're always in our small jurisdictions, you know, subject to their challenges, you know, however bullying in relation to offshore, the offshore industry. However, Mr. Speaker, the real worry that the people of Anguilla have is not just that we're going to be left with any opportunity to diversify the economy. They're really worried that this call for direct rule is really worrying. In other words, Mr. Speaker, however farfetched it may appear today in this room, in this chamber, this honourable chamber, they are calling for the recolonisation of Anguilla. Nearly 50 years after the 1967 Revolution that our people, Mr. Speaker, fought so hard for,

were in jail for in St. Kitts for months, months and months and months. Good people from District 1 throughout Anguilla, great people made such significant sacrifices and contributions and we are risking direct rule. And, you know, Mr. Speaker, this could give rise to a lot of questions but I don't want the focus right now to shift from this land bank, but direct rule from the UK. We only have to look to what happened in TCI to know that it's connected with our lands.

So what was the revolution about, what was our 1967 Revolution about? Was it to allow a privileged few to rule us without consulting us and in many cases to benefit dishonestly from its privileges? No. We mustn't confuse the fact that our goats are given challenges to an animal nuisance laws right now. We mustn't confuse that with the fact that Animal Farm is just a story, just a book, even though there are lots of lessons to be learned. Anguillians, Mr. Speaker, and I listen to them everyday, sometimes all day, way into the night. And it is true, Mr. Speaker, doesn't matter how I'm ridiculed or jeered or laughed with -- laughed about by the Honourable Chief Minister, I am the voice of the people; the people say that.

So Anguillians, Mr. Speaker, will not for long put up with the contemptuous way in which our Government is disregarding the interest and aspirations of our people.

Mr. Speaker, I'm just one and I can't brag about the six or

the non plural vote. But what I can tell you, Mr. Speaker, is that I can refer to that article that Tyrone Hodge wrote in the -- son of Walter Hodge, one of the real stalwarts of the Constitution wrote in Caribbean News Now, and I want to tell you that the response regionally to that kind of concern has resonated across the region. And his article is "The Government...", I want to quote it correctly, "...selling off our lands. What is this about?" I urge everybody listening to look at that article. Google Caribbean News Now, Tyrone Hodge, and you will see the concerns the people have flagged and continue to flag.

So Mr. Speaker, I just want to say that our people fought hard, fought long and we're still to realise the benefits of that 1967 Resolution. As I've said before, many of our people are concerned that the last vestiges of the Father of the Nation's legacy, Social Security, is also being compromised. This law is a worry for the people. And mark my words, Mr. Speaker, this style of Government, it started, it will self destruct and hindsight will be 2020 when you read these various articles and see the predictions. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

THE SPEAKER: Thank you.

Member for East End.

MEMBER FOR SANDY HILL: Thank you,

25 Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I rise just to say a few words in support of this Eastern Caribbean Asset Management Corporation (Amendment) Act. Since February of 2015, Mr. Speaker, this issue in terms of the banking resolution has been put before this existing Government to deal with. It doesn't mean that it started then, it started a number of years before but we inherited it on the 22nd of April 2015. And we are now in April of 2016, one year later, Mr. Speaker, and we are nearing the end of a long and arduous task of trying to find a way to deal with the banking situation here in Anguilla. know, we have two banks that are under the conservatorship of the ECCB since August of 2013. And since that time, the IMF, the ECCB, the World Bank, the Anguilla Government and the FCO have all been involved in trying to find ways for us to resolve this situation. We are now at the stage where we are coming to the end of the various issues that need to be cleaned up before we can take the banks forward. We have identified that there is a way for us to be able to save the deposits that are held in the bank by the people of Anguilla. The average man and woman on the street that may be the majority that are silent, but those are the ones that have their monies in our banks. Those are the ones that are looking at this Government, this administration and expecting that their deposits would be secured. And it's not for just those persons that have monies in the bank, Mr. Speaker, this

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

banking resolution impacts Anguilla as a whole. It prevents Anguilla from going into a situation where you have two of our indigenous banks failing and becoming a serious issue for us and our economy and from becoming a serious issue for our financial sector. And so, Mr. Speaker, I think standing here today, although you may hear some of the loud ones that are speaking about -- against the banking resolution, at the end of the day, the majority of the people of Anguilla, when I hear them speak, understand or are in support of saving our indigenous banks. Indigenous banks that actually have helped or contributed to 75 percent of economic activity in Anguilla. And so I don't think that the average man and woman could deny the importance and they cannot also deny the difficulties that this Government has had to face in coming to a final resolution. This Eastern Caribbean Asset Management Corporation (Amendment) Act allows for the final touches for the banking resolution to be put in place so that we could effectively move forward.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In my district, Mr. Speaker, I have roads that need to be paved. I have things that need to be done. In fact, there is serious work in the seven villages, the seven districts across Anguilla where work needs to be done where it requires an influx of funds from the Government of Anguilla to be able to maintain our country. We have work to do in this country, Mr. Speaker. We need to get our economy going. We

need to strengthen our banking sector. We need to create economic opportunities and get our people back to full employment. We need to educate our people, especially our young people. Mr. Speaker, I cannot tell you the amount of young people that I know that would like to go off to school but they do not have the funds. Their families don't have access to those funds and so their only option would be if the Government of Anguilla has the opportunity or the ability to be able to help them to achieve this success. But in order to do so we have to move forward from this stalemate. We have to be able to move forward from where we are today. And there is no doubt that where we are today is that we need to see an increase in economic activity. There is no doubt that we need to resolve our banking situation because it impacts the society as a whole on different levels. And there is no doubt, Mr. Speaker, that coming out of this situation, Anguilla has had and will continue to go through growing pains, but it makes for a stronger economy, it makes for us as a stronger people and it will allow us to be able to move forward, Mr. Speaker, and to achieve our dreams. begins with a first step. And that step, Mr. Speaker, is to do what this Government has ardently been doing since elections, since the day we were elected, and that is to resolve the banking situation, to create economic activity, to provide employment for our people and to move Anguilla

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

forward.

And so, Mr. Speaker, I have no hesitancy in supporting this Act, Mr. Speaker, and on that basis I do not believe that when you hear the people of Anguilla speaking, that those people that are the loud mouths, that are the ones that are making the most noise (and they may have their own interests) those do not represent the majority of the people in Anguilla, Mr. Speaker. Thank you.

THE SPEAKER: Thank you.

Member for West End.

MEMBER FOR WEST END: Thank you,

Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, I too stand in support of the Eastern Caribbean Asset Management Corporation Bill, the Amendment, Mr. Speaker.

THE SPEAKER: Amendment Bill, yes.

MEMBER FOR WEST END: Mr. Speaker, as a people we've had obvious challenges for quite some time now but it is always a test of one's character as to how you perform when the odds are against you, you think the weight of the world is on your shoulders. And as a people we have been there before and we succeeded and now these are times too, Mr. Speaker, where challenges are great for our people but if we work together as a people, Mr. Speaker, I am absolutely sure we will get through this.

I must say many thanks to all those who invested

or deposited at National Bank of Anguilla and the CCB. ones who decided that despite the challenges and the fearmongering out there that they kept their funds in those two banks and they believe, Mr. Speaker, that as a Government that they voted for, six of out seven, they believe in us, Mr. Speaker. And by leaving the money, their funds in the banks suggested they have confidence and faith in us, not just the Chief Minister, but as a team, a team of six. Mr. Speaker, this Government is not prepared to stick its head in the sand and pretend that when it pull its head out the issues will be all gone. We are prepared to deal with the opposition. Opposition is not all bad, Mr. Speaker. trouble is they generally don't give you suggestions of how to fix it, but as a Government we thank them. We thank the naysayers because at times the resistance actually gives you greater strength and I believe that, Mr. Speaker.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Our people in Anguilla, our Anguillians wherever you are, you should have no fear. We have the right to chart our own destiny. So despite what people might say we can make the choice ourselves.

Mr. Speaker, again, I too want to stand in support of the Honourable Minister, our Chief Minister and Minister for Valley South as we move forward to fixing this problem that we've had for quite some time and I thank the people of Anguilla who have been patient. Of course, there'll

be those who by nature will oppose, but that's really a matter of history, Mr. Speaker. As we move forward, again I thank the people for showing faith and confidence in this Government. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

THE SPEAKER: Thank you. Any other contributions? If there are no other the mover may respond.

MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Mr. Speaker, I thank

members very much for their support.

Mr. Speaker, the Member for the Opposition went through a whole range of statements and comments in connection with this Amendment Act. The Amendment Act, Mr. Speaker, is simply to effect the implementation of the Eastern Caribbean Asset Management Corporation in a manner that fits with the laws of Anguilla and represents the concerns of the new developments of its requiring that we access the Bill because we are past that period when it is done by the House of Assembly, and also to make sure that the references to any other law is not so wide as to include issues relating to constitution and in particular I will suspect orders in council. It also, Mr. Speaker, seeks to ensure that the Government of Anguilla can accede the Act by publication in the Gazette by the Governor on the day in which she assents.

Mr. Speaker, the Member for Island Harbour also spoke about a number of things having to do with the entire banking resolution. And she spoke in particular about

comments made by Mr. Don Mitchell. And I want to refer her because she seems to be quoting Mr. Mitchell conveniently, rather than in terms of the substance that he has brought over the period to the issue of the Banking Bill and its implementation in this House of Assembly for ensuring the furtherance of stability in the Eastern Caribbean Central Union and the stability of the banking sector as a whole and its integrity within the international financial system.

Mr. Mitchell wrote an article, Mr. Speaker, "Why does the Eastern Caribbean Currency Union Banking Act give our Central Bank such apparently dictatorial powers?" And the resolution that we are presenting here, the Amendment Act that we have presented here today to the Eastern Caribbean Asset Management Corporation, that is a part of the Eastern Caribbean Currency Union Banking Act amendments that have been made to ensure that we are, as a region, in the 21st century as far as banking is concerned. And the response to the question that he mooted, Mr. Speaker, Mr. Mitchell makes the following -- Justice Mitchell makes the following statement:

"The Anguilla present Banking Act is a uniform Act, almost identical to the other Banking Acts across the Caribbean dollar region. Or, it used to be. All of the six independent States have in recent months replaced the old Act with the new Banking Act. Only Anguilla and Montserrat have failed to enact it." (This goes back to October 2015 last

year.) "The governments of these two islands face stiff opposition from certain members of the public, based mainly on what these objectors describe as the dictatorial powers proposed to be given to the Central Bank. This opposition has been holding up the passage of this essential piece of legislation for six months.

The details of the so-called dictatorial powers, and the various reasons given for objection, are not important for this analysis. What is important is an understanding of why any new Central Bank powers are being introduced. Why is there any need for a new Banking Act? Is the Central Bank power-hungry, and determined to get its way at the cost of our banking system, as our opponents of the new Act allege? Or, is the Central Bank merely complying with standards that are required internationally? A little study and research reveals the answer.

The new Banking Act is based on the Basel

Committee on Banking Supervision's - Core Principles for

Effective Banking Supervision, issued in September 2012.

The Core Principles are in fact the minimum standards applied to judge how sound are the prudential regulation and supervision of banks and banking systems in all the regions of the world. They are the benchmark for testing the quality of supervisory banking systems. The Core Principles are used by the International Monetary Fund and the

World Bank to assess the effectiveness of supervisory systems and practices all around the world." And he goes on to outline the core principles. I'll take time to note that the core, and repeat the statement: "The Core Principles are used by the International Monetary Fund and the World Bank to assess the effectiveness of the supervisory systems and practices all around the world." These are the agencies,

Mr. Speaker, that in coming to office, my Government, our Government, the Government of the people of Anguilla elected by a majority of the people, with a majority of six in the House of Assembly, decided that we should uphold and bring in a resolution to the banking crisis that we met and the lack of effort to resolve it for the past 20 months -- sorry, the past 18 months before we came to office.

Mr. Speaker, the -- Justice Mitchell goes through the core principles of the international standards for banking in the world community, and he concludes with this statement:

"Anyone who has ever done business in Anguilla knows how lax our professional standards generally are. The only professions and trades that are legally regulated are food handlers, liquor licence retailers, land surveyors, physicians, and lawyers. All others operate on a caveat emptor basis. Anyone may call himself an architect, engineer, land valuer, real estate agent, auctioneer, accountant, or

banker. None of these is subject to any form of professional licensing or regulation.

Since we in Anguilla do not seem capable of or interested in correcting our professional and ethical deficiencies, it is hardly surprising that external agencies will force internationally recognised standards on us.

In any event, as we have seen above, so far as banking is concerned, it is clear that the Governor of the Central Bank is not unilaterally imposing anything on us. The Governor of the Central Bank is merely demanding that Anguilla live up to the standards the Monetary Council, or Board of Directors, of the Central Bank, has mandated the Central Bank to impose and enforce. Only Anguilla and Montserrat seem intent on holding on to the old, inadequate, and no longer acceptable banking sectors (sic).

Put another way, far from being arbitrary and dictatorial in insisting on or passing the new Banking Act, the Central Bank is trying to reassure the international community that Anguilla complies with the minimum banking standards expected of us. It is at the same time trying to persuade the international community that it, as our Central Bank, is meeting the high standards expected of a regional banking supervisor. Our resistance to passing the Bill is stymicing the Central Bank's efforts on both counts. The question is, will we in Anguilla succeed in remaining a pariah

banking community, while at the same time making our Central
Bank appear to be an ineffective regional regulator? This is
a result that we should strive to avoid at all costs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In conclusion, the new Banking Act is designed by its drafters to make our central Bank and its member banks compliant with international standards. There is no evidence it is an irresponsible and unreasonable new law, drafted by power-hungry lawyers and bankers in the Central Union (sic), as being suggested by some of the objectors to it."

Mr. Speaker, as I said to the Member for Island Harbour in response to the questions raised, the Government of Anguilla is not involving itself in arbitrary decision making as it comes to the resolution of the banking situation in Anguilla. We are following the international standards. are respecting and observing the formulas, the models suggested to us by experts from the International Monetary Fund, the World Bank, the Caribbean Development Bank, the Eastern Caribbean Central Bank, the Foreign and Commonwealth Office, and all those agencies who have a role to play in ensuring the integrity of the world financial system. And we cannot afford, Mr. Speaker, in Anguilla, to sit around having discussion about whether or not a particular agreement is put in place that has as its core function to ensure that the non performing loans that can no longer be a part of the banking system unless they are auctioned off in some way, are now put

in an entity with strict rules and regulations that is not owned by a private corporation, but owned by a corporation and a group of member states of our region who have an equal share in its ownership and are guided by rules and guidelines that allows it to carry out its function in a professional, equitable, fair and just way. Not by the whims and fancies of a private corporation who comes in and just wants to buy up the assets at basement prices, but an institution that is founded and vested in the Caribbean, invested in the OECS, invested in the Eastern Caribbean Central Bank and its member states to deliver what is in the best interest of the people of Anguilla.

Mr. Speaker, I find it disingenuous that the Leader of the Opposition should come in this house, the Member for Island Harbour should come in this house and try to suggest that we are doing something which will have the effect of causing persons to lose their land and their security simply because, as she says, and she made this statement, "human life should not — never be sacrificed for monetary purposes." I don't know the relevance of that statement in her comments at all. I don't know where human life is coming into this. I don't know where human life is being sacrificed for monetary purposes.

I think the Leader of the Opposition has come to the time when she wants to talk of so many things, so many

things and when you get down to the bottom of it, the question is what is her position? She suggest that a dilution of shareholders equity would have been eminently fair in the resolution of the banks. And she has not asked herself the question whether or not the shareholders had an opportunity to resolve the banking situation. She did not ask herself what was the magnitude of the challenge that the banks face, why they were deemed insolvent. These are issues which are of no concern to her. In my opinion, she's here simply to suggest all kinds of things and even to go so far as to say that direct rule is being called for in the House of Commons, as if, Mr. Speaker, this is a gotcha based on the attempts by this Government, the efforts by this Government to try to bring a resolution to this banking crisis. She's talking about Panama. For God's sake. The Member for Island Harbour is a part of the Financial Services Sector. She has been the President, Chairman, whatever on several occasions. for financial services, a whole range of things, and just for political purpose appears as if she is gloating about what is happening with the Panama situation. Beneficial ownership is an issue that is now raised. She said Anguilla's name was mentioned in the House of Commons.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Mr. Speaker, I do not believe that that suggestion was at all there that I'm supporting that and I, I, on a point of order that I'm

gloating on the fact that the Panama --

MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Which suggestion?

MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: So it's not

reasonable or fair.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE SPEAKER: Okay.

MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: She made a statement, oh, that Anguilla is being mentioned in the House of Commons. She did not take the time to point out whether or not it was mentioned in a good way or a bad way but she left it up to speculation for people to believe that the fact that Anguilla is being mentioned in the House of Commons by Mr. Cameron is a bad thing, that he said something bad about Anguilla. As far as I know, Anguilla is moving forward to try to do whatever is necessary, within reason, of course, to be compliant with whatever standards and regulations are being suggested especially after consultation with our leaders in the overseas territories, in the financial services sector: Bermuda, Cayman Islands and BVI. We are usually followers to their lead because we are only fledgling in this sector. But we've got to consult and we've got to know what it is we are getting ourselves involved in. I mean, I'm sure that none of us here in this Honourable House would want to do anything that would affect the future of our financial services sector. But very often we get the feeling that in a lot of ways some of the things that are being required for us will at the end of the

day destroy whatever financial services sector we have. And the rules of the game are being set by persons who are not a part of the game in this part of the world, who do not have concern for the very fragile economies that depend on one or two industries, service industry in the form of tourism and financial services. This is what we depend on. And when measures are taken that would have the effect of destroying our competitiveness we must be concerned. We can't sit back and allow it to go unchallenged. We have to express our views. We have to be a part of the discussion, of the dialogue.

So Mr. Speaker, I want to say to the Member for Island Harbour that the time has come for us to forget the ceremony and get back to the substance of our responsibilities as leaders in this economy, in this country. And I support her advocacy. I support her advocacy but advocacy does not mean just getting up and opposing for opposing sake and saying all sort of things where you don't know the facts. By her own expression, she made the statement she doesn't know all the facts but yet she is talking about Cameron and what he's saying. Prime Minister Cameron, I'm sorry, and what he's saying.

Mr. Speaker, it is critical for everything that's going to happen in Anguilla going forward that we resolve the banking situation. And all the advocacy that the

Member for Island Harbour has been embarking on must at some point include some solutions that might replace some of the criticism that she has lodged against the efforts that we are making to resolve the banking crisis. Mr. Speaker, you would note that we have stood our course. I mean, the Member for Island Harbour pay so little attention to the facts and research that she can get up in this Honourable House and say that the Government of Anguilla mentioned that the Social Security Fund is \$213 million and she -- it was published that the Social Security Fund is \$310 million, and seems to imply that the other hundred million dollars went somewhere.

2.0

MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Tell us.

MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: The other hundred million went somewhere. Tell you? You should read up on that. You shouldn't be asking me the answers to those questions; you should be doing your research. I've brought the Audited Financial Statements for 2010, I brought them to this Honourable House of Assembly. Look at them. Ask a question, not in this Honourable House. When you come to this Honourable House you should know the answers to the question. Mr. Speaker, I'm sorry. The Member for Island Harbour should know the answers to the question. All the funds of Social Security were not deposited in CDs in the indigenous banks.

MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Mr. Speaker, are you going to ask the Honourable Chief Minister, Member for Valley

```
South to apologise?
1
                                             Apologise for what?
2
                   THE SPEAKER:
                   MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Apologise for
3
    speaking to me discourteously and directly.
 4
                                             But you spoke to him
5
                   THE SPEAKER:
    directly. You spoke directly also. He responded to you.
6
                   MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Mr. Speaker, I
7
    apologise for speaking to her directly.
8
                   THE SPEAKER:
                                             You spoke to him
9
    directly first.
10
                   MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Mr. Speaker.
11
                   THE SPEAKER:
                                              But you did it first
12
13
    so I'll have to ask you to apologise also.
                   MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: So you're asking both
14
    of us to apologise then? Because I'm happy to apologise for
15
    saying "tell us".
16
17
                   MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: I'm always happy to
18
    apologise.
                   MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: What do you think,
19
20
    Mr. Speaker?
                   THE SPEAKER:
                                             Apologise if you want
21
22
    to, but both of you did it so why are you getting up and
    asking him to do it?
23
                   MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: But will you assure,
24
25
    Mr. Speaker, that we both apologise then or just me?
```

THE SPEAKER:

Just sit and let's

continue. You're just wasting time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Mr. Speaker.

MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Mr. Speaker, in the furtherance of this debate and not getting side track in some puerile discussion about apologising, let me apologise for whatever I might have said directly to the Member for Island Harbour and point to the fact that I've not lost my trend of thought that she would have made a -- the Member for Island Harbour would have made a statement in this Honourable House asking the question as if to suggest that something untoward took place, that the Social Security fund is \$310 million and the Member for Valley South is only speaking about \$213 million, so by implication where did the other hundred million dollars go. Mr. Speaker, for the edification of persons who are listening to this programme and not for the information of the Member for Island Harbour who would not have done her research, that \$213 million of the Social Security fund was lodged in the indigenous banks. The other hundred million would have been placed in other investment entities as well as other banks and so on and so forth. So together they do come up to the \$310 million that was spoken about. So there is no hanky-panky or anything untoward taking place as is implied in her statement but also which causes me to question whether or not she really understands the issue that we are dealing with.

And that has been demonstrated on a number of occasions. 1 Mr. Speaker, I'm going to take my seat and I'll 2 like to thank the members on this side especially for their 3 support for this Bill; the Honourable First Nominated Member 4 who took the time to prepare his presentation and deal with 5 issues that are critical to supporting this Bill; the Members, 6 the Elected Members on this side of the house; my ministers 7 and Parliamentary Secretary for their support as usual and the 8 support of the Attorney General's Chambers and staff of 9 Executive Council for ensuring that the amendments that were 10 made which would have the effect of allowing the enactment of 11 the Eastern Caribbean Asset Management Corporation (Amendment) 12 Bill to be passed in this Honourable House today. Thank you 13 14 very much, Mr. Speaker. Thank you. It has 15 THE SPEAKER: been moved and seconded that a Bill shortly entitled the 16 Eastern Caribbean Asset Management Corporation (Amendment) 17 Bill, 2016, be now read a second time. Those in favour? 18 19 (Members of Government said "aye.") Those against? 20 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: I'm against it. 21 THE SPEAKER: The ayes have it. 22 call upon the Clerk to read the Bill a second time. 23 THE CLERK: A Bill to amend the 24

Eastern Caribbean Asset Management Corporation Act, 2015.

2.5

| House of Assembly Procedures, this Bill now stands referred to a committee of the whole assembly to consider it clause by clause. Assembly in committee. THE CLERK: Clauses 1 to 8. THE SPEAKER: The question is that clauses 1 to 8 be approved as circulated. Those in favour? (Members of Government said "aye.") Those against? MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. THE SPEAKER: The ayes have it. THE SPEAKER: The question is that the preamble be approved as circulated. Those in favour? (Members of Government said "aye.") Those against? MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. THE SPEAKER: The ayes have it. THE SPEAKER: The ayes have it. THE SPEAKER: The enacting clause. THE SPEAKER: The question is that the enacting clause be approved as circulated. Those in favour? (Members of Government said "aye.") MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. THE SPEAKER: The question is that the enacting clause be approved as circulated. Those in favour? | 1 | THE SPEAKER: Under Rule 54 of the |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| clause. Assembly in committee. THE CLERK: Clauses 1 to 8. THE SPEAKER: The question is that clauses 1 to 8 be approved as circulated. Those in favour? (Members of Government said "aye.") Those against? MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. THE SPEAKER: The ayes have it. THE SPEAKER: The preamble. THE SPEAKER: The question is that the preamble be approved as circulated. Those in favour? (Members of Government said "aye.") Those against? MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. THE SPEAKER: The ayes have it. THE SPEAKER: The question is that the enacting clause. THE SPEAKER: The question is that the enacting clause be approved as circulated. Those in favour? (Members of Government said "aye.") MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. | 2 | House of Assembly Procedures, this Bill now stands referred to |
| THE CLERK: Clauses 1 to 8. THE SPEAKER: The question is that clauses 1 to 8 be approved as circulated. Those in favour? (Members of Government said "aye.") Those against? MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. THE SPEAKER: The ayes have it. THE CLERK: The preamble. THE SPEAKER: The question is that the preamble be approved as circulated. Those in favour? (Members of Government said "aye.") Those against? MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. THE SPEAKER: The ayes have it. THE SPEAKER: The ayes have it. THE SPEAKER: The question is that the enacting clause be approved as circulated. Those in favour? (Members of Government said "aye.") MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. | 3 | a committee of the whole assembly to consider it clause by |
| THE SPEAKER: The question is that clauses 1 to 8 be approved as circulated. Those in favour? (Members of Government said "aye.") Those against? MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. THE SPEAKER: The ayes have it. THE CLERK: The preamble. THE SPEAKER: The question is that the preamble be approved as circulated. Those in favour? (Members of Government said "aye.") Those against? MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. THE SPEAKER: The ayes have it. THE SPEAKER: The ayes have it. THE CLERK: The enacting clause. THE SPEAKER: The question is that the enacting clause be approved as circulated. Those in favour? (Members of Government said "aye.") MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. | 4 | clause. Assembly in committee. |
| clauses 1 to 8 be approved as circulated. Those in favour? (Members of Government said "aye.") Those against? MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. THE SPEAKER: The ayes have it. THE SPEAKER: The preamble. THE SPEAKER: The question is that the preamble be approved as circulated. Those in favour? (Members of Government said "aye.") Those against? MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. THE SPEAKER: The ayes have it. THE SPEAKER: The enacting clause. THE SPEAKER: The question is that the enacting clause be approved as circulated. Those in favour? (Members of Government said "aye.") MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. | 5 | THE CLERK: Clauses 1 to 8. |
| MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. THE SPEAKER: The ayes have it. THE SPEAKER: The question is that the preamble be approved as circulated. Those in favour? (Members of Government said "aye.") THE SPEAKER: The ayes have it. THE SPEAKER: The question is that the preamble be approved as circulated. Those in favour? (Members of Government said "aye.") Those against? MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. THE SPEAKER: The ayes have it. THE SPEAKER: The question is that the enacting clause be approved as circulated. Those in favour? (Members of Government said "aye.") MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. | 6 | THE SPEAKER: The question is that |
| Those against? MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. THE SPEAKER: The ayes have it. THE CLERK: The preamble. THE SPEAKER: The question is that the preamble be approved as circulated. Those in favour? (Members of Government said "aye.") Those against? MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. THE SPEAKER: The ayes have it. THE CLERK: The enacting clause. THE SPEAKER: The question is that the enacting clause be approved as circulated. Those in favour? (Members of Government said "aye.") MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. | 7 | clauses 1 to 8 be approved as circulated. Those in favour? |
| MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. THE SPEAKER: The ayes have it. THE CLERK: The preamble. THE SPEAKER: The question is that the preamble be approved as circulated. Those in favour? (Members of Government said "aye.") Those against? MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. THE SPEAKER: The ayes have it. THE CLERK: The enacting clause. THE SPEAKER: The question is that the enacting clause be approved as circulated. Those in favour? (Members of Government said "aye.") MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. | 8 | (Members of Government said "aye.") |
| THE SPEAKER: The ayes have it. THE CLERK: The preamble. THE SPEAKER: The question is that the preamble be approved as circulated. Those in favour? (Members of Government said "aye.") Those against? MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. THE SPEAKER: The ayes have it. THE CLERK: The enacting clause. THE SPEAKER: The question is that the enacting clause be approved as circulated. Those in favour? (Members of Government said "aye.") MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. | 9 | Those against? |
| THE CLERK: The preamble. THE SPEAKER: The question is that the preamble be approved as circulated. Those in favour? (Members of Government said "aye.") Those against? MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. THE SPEAKER: The ayes have it. THE CLERK: The enacting clause. THE SPEAKER: The question is that the enacting clause be approved as circulated. Those in favour? (Members of Government said "aye.") MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. | 10 | MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. |
| THE SPEAKER: The question is that the preamble be approved as circulated. Those in favour? (Members of Government said "aye.") Those against? MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. THE SPEAKER: The ayes have it. THE CLERK: The enacting clause. THE SPEAKER: The question is that the enacting clause be approved as circulated. Those in favour? (Members of Government said "aye.") MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. | 11 | THE SPEAKER: The ayes have it. |
| the preamble be approved as circulated. Those in favour? (Members of Government said "aye.") Those against? MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. THE SPEAKER: The ayes have it. THE CLERK: The enacting clause. THE SPEAKER: The question is that the enacting clause be approved as circulated. Those in favour? (Members of Government said "aye.") MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. | 12 | THE CLERK: The preamble. |
| (Members of Government said "aye.") Those against? MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. THE SPEAKER: The ayes have it. THE CLERK: The enacting clause. THE SPEAKER: The question is that the enacting clause be approved as circulated. Those in favour? (Members of Government said "aye.") MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. | 13 | THE SPEAKER: The question is that |
| Those against? MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. THE SPEAKER: The ayes have it. THE CLERK: The enacting clause. THE SPEAKER: The question is that the enacting clause be approved as circulated. Those in favour? (Members of Government said "aye.") MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. | 14 | the preamble be approved as circulated. Those in favour? |
| MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. THE SPEAKER: The ayes have it. THE CLERK: The enacting clause. THE SPEAKER: The question is that the enacting clause be approved as circulated. Those in favour? (Members of Government said "aye.") MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. | 15 | (Members of Government said "aye.") |
| THE SPEAKER: The ayes have it. THE CLERK: The enacting clause. THE SPEAKER: The question is that the enacting clause be approved as circulated. Those in favour? (Members of Government said "aye.") MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. | 16 | Those against? |
| THE CLERK: The enacting clause. THE SPEAKER: The question is that the enacting clause be approved as circulated. Those in favour? (Members of Government said "aye.") MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. | 17 | MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. |
| THE SPEAKER: The question is that the enacting clause be approved as circulated. Those in favour? (Members of Government said "aye.") MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. | 18 | THE SPEAKER: The ayes have it. |
| the enacting clause be approved as circulated. Those in favour? (Members of Government said "aye.") MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. | 19 | THE CLERK: The enacting clause. |
| favour? (Members of Government said "aye.") MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. | 20 | THE SPEAKER: The question is that |
| (Members of Government said "aye.") MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. | 21 | the enacting clause be approved as circulated. Those in |
| MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. | 22 | favour? |
| | 23 | (Members of Government said "aye.") |
| THE SPEAKER: The ayes have it. The | 24 | MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Against it. |
| | 25 | THE SPEAKER: The ayes have it. The |

```
assembly will now resume its sitting.
1
                   MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Mr. Speaker, I beg to
2
    report that the Bill entitled an Act to amend the Eastern
 3
    Caribbean Asset Management Corporation Act, 2015.
 4
                                             2016.
                   THE SPEAKER:
 5
                   MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: 2015.
 6
                                            This says 16, 2016.
                   THE SPEAKER:
 7
    Asset Management --
 8
                   MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Yes, but I'm looking
 9
    at the long title of the Bill.
10
                                             Well something is
                   THE SPEAKER:
11
    inconsistent then if you have 2016.
12
                   MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: An Act to amend --
13
                                             Oh, to amend, to amend
                   THE SPEAKER:
14
15
    that. Yes, yes. I got it.
                   MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: -- the Eastern
16
    Caribbean Asset Management Corporation Act, 2015.
17
                                                    That's right.
                   THE SPEAKER:
                                              Yes.
18
                   MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Has passed through
19
    committee without amendment and is ready for its third
20
21
     reading.
                                             Eastern Caribbean
22
                   THE CLERK:
    Asset Management Corporation Bill, 2016, third reading.
23
24
                   MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Mr. Speaker, I move
     that a Bill entitled the Eastern Caribbean Asset Management
25
```

| 1 | |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | Corporation (Amendment) Act, 2016, be read a third time and |
| 2 | passed. |
| 3 | THE SPEAKER: And passed. Do you |
| 4 | have a seconder? |
| 5 | MEMBER FOR SANDY HILL: I second the motion, |
| 6 | Mr. Speaker. |
| 7 | THE SPEAKER: Thank you. It has |
| 8 | been moved and seconded that a Bill shortly entitled the |
| 9 | Eastern Caribbean Asset Management Corporation (Amendment) |
| 10 | Bill, 2016, be read a third time and passed. Those in favour? |
| 11 | (Members of Government said "aye.") |
| 12 | Those against? |
| 13 | MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: I'm against it. |
| 14 | THE SPEAKER: The ayes have it. I |
| 15 | call upon the Clerk to read the Bill a third time. |
| 16 | THE CLERK: The Eastern Caribbean |
| 17 | Asset Management Corporation (Amendment) Bill, 2016, third |
| 18 | reading. A Bill to amend the Eastern Caribbean Asset |
| 19 | Management Corporation Act, 2015. |
| 20 | THE SPEAKER: Bill read a third time |
| 21 | and passed. |
| 22 | THE CLERK: Adjournment. |
| 23 | MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Mr. Speaker, I beg to |
| 24 | move that this house stands adjourned sine die. |
| 25 | THE SPEAKER: You have a seconder? |

```
MEMBER FOR SANDY HILL: I second the motion,
1
    Mr. Speaker.
2
                                              Yes. It's been moved
                   THE SPEAKER:
3
    and seconded that this house be adjourned sine die. Those in
4
    favour?
5
                   (Members of Government said "aye.")
6
                   Those against?
7
                   (No response.)
8
                   The ayes have it. This house now stands
9
    adjourned.
10
                   (Meeting adjourned at 12:20 p.m.)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, **CARLA S. RITCHIE**, a Certified Court Reporter, do hereby certify:

That on the 12th day of April, 2016, the foregoing proceedings were taken down by me in machine shorthand consisting of 68 pages herein;

That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings had;

That I am not an attorney, relative, or employee of any party hereto, or otherwise interested in the events of this cause;

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my signature at The Valley, Anguilla, British West Indies, this 21st day of July, 2016.

CARLA S. RITCHIE
Certified Court Reporter